Annex 7: Joint programme evaluation questions (Evald)

1. Overall impression of the programme

My overall impression is:

Very good 5-4-3-2-1 Very bad

2. Programme structure and content

The aim of the programme is for students to fulfil the objectives required to obtain a degree. The objectives are described in the programme syllabus.

a) I find the balance between theoretical and practical/skills training components on the programme satisfactory.

Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

b) I find the programme content comprehensive (no gaps/shortcomings). Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

c) I have not experienced any unjustified overlaps in content.

Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

d) I find the different parts of the programme to be connected – there is a 'common thread' on the programme.

Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

e) I find progression on the programme satisfactory – there is a gradual development. Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

f) I find the amount of student-activating activities on the programme sufficient. Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

g) I find that issues relating to sustainable development are covered on the programme. Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

h) I find that issues relating to gender equality are covered on the programme. Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree

i) I find that the programme includes international perspectives. *Strongly agree 5-4-3-2-1 Strongly disagree*

3. Summary opinion

If you were to choose a degree programme today, would you choose the same programme?

Yes, definitely

Yes, probably

No, would probably choose another SLU programme

No, would probably choose a similar programme at another university

No, would probably choose another programme at another university

4. Tell us more

What was the best thing about the programme, and what do you think needs changing? Feel free to comment on any other aspects of your programme as well.